

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Machine Learning in Cyber Threat Detection

Aulia Khanza¹, Firdaus Dwi Yulian^{2*}, Novita Khairunnisa³, Natasya Aprila Yusuf⁴, Asher Nuche⁵

¹Faculty of Digital Business, Association of Colleges of Informatics and Computer Science, Indonesia

²Faculty of Information Technology, University of Raharja, Indonesia

³Faculty of Digital Business, APTIKOM, Indonesia

⁴Faculty of Information System, University of Raharja, Indonesia

⁵Faculty of Information Technology, Ijiis Incorporation, Singapore

¹aulia.khanza@raharja.info, ²firdaus.dwi@raharja.info, ³novita.khairunnisa@raharja.info,

⁴natasya@raharja.info, ⁵ashernuche@ijiis.asia

*Corresponding Author

Article Info

Article history:

Received August 09, 2024

Revised August 14, 2024

Accepted August 22, 2024

Keywords:

Machine Learning
Cyber Threat Detection
Random Forest
Supervised Learning
Cybersecurity



ABSTRACT

In today's digital era, cyber threats pose significant challenges to organizations, necessitating more advanced detection methods. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of machine learning (ML) techniques in detecting cyber threats, focusing on supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning models. Using datasets such as CICIDS2017, the study trains models including Random Forest, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Neural Networks. The evaluation is based on accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score metrics. The results demonstrate that the Random Forest model outperforms others with an accuracy of 92.5%, a precision of 91.8%, and an F1-score of 92.4%. This superior performance highlights its potential for real-time threat detection, as evidenced by a case study where the model effectively identified previously undetected cyber threats in a large technology company's network. However, the study also acknowledges challenges such as data quality and the need for continuous model updates. The findings suggest that integrating ML models into cybersecurity frameworks can significantly enhance threat detection efficiency. Future research should explore combining ML with traditional methods and improving model robustness against adversarial attacks to further advance cybersecurity measures.

*Corresponding Author:

Firdaus Dwi Yulian

Faculty of Information Technology, University of Raharja, Indonesia

firdaus.dwi@raharja.info

1. INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving digital era, cyber threats have emerged as one of the most significant challenges faced by organizations and individuals worldwide [1]. These attacks not only result in substantial financial losses but also cause severe reputational damage, compromise personal data, and disrupt business operations [2–4]. Reports from various cybersecurity agencies indicate a significant annual increase in cyber attacks, with these threats becoming increasingly sophisticated and difficult to detect. Consequently, developing effective methods for detecting and preventing cyber attacks is of paramount importance. Cyber threats come in various forms, including malware, phishing, ransomware, and Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks, each requiring different detection approaches. Traditional methods, such as intrusion detection systems (IDS) and antivirus software, often fall short in addressing these evolving threats due to their limitations in recognizing

new patterns and quickly adapting to innovative attack techniques [5–7].

The use of machine learning in cyber threat detection presents a promising solution to these challenges [8–10]. Machine learning enables systems to learn from historical data and identify previously undetected patterns [11]. With the ability to analyze large volumes of data quickly, machine learning models can detect threats more accurately and swiftly. Additionally, machine learning is adaptable, allowing continuous updates and improvements as cyber threats evolve, making it a dynamic tool in the constantly changing security landscape [12]. The primary motivation for employing machine learning in cyber threat detection lies in its potential to provide more accurate detection and faster responses to attacks [2]. Machine learning models can be trained to recognize various types of cyber threats based on data from previous attacks, thus offering more proactive protection. Moreover, machine learning can automate the detection and response processes, reducing the workload of cybersecurity teams and enabling them to focus on more strategic and critical tasks.

This research aims to evaluate the effectiveness of machine learning in cyber threat detection by examining various techniques, including supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and reinforcement learning. The study will explore how these machine learning models can be integrated into existing cybersecurity systems to enhance threat detection efficiency and effectiveness [13, 14]. Specifically, the research objectives include identifying and analyzing the most effective machine learning techniques for cyber threat detection, evaluating their performance across different datasets, comparing the results with traditional methods, and providing recommendations for implementing machine learning in organizational cybersecurity systems [15]. Several studies have explored the application of machine learning in cyber threat detection, demonstrating its potential to enhance cybersecurity measures. For instance, the effectiveness of supervised learning techniques such as Random Forest and Gradient Boosting in detecting malware in network traffic [16]. the capability of unsupervised learning, particularly clustering algorithms, in identifying anomalies in network traffic, making it suitable for environments with limited threat information [17–20]. These studies collectively underscore the transformative potential of machine learning in revolutionizing cyber threat detection, making cybersecurity systems more resilient, adaptive, and capable of addressing the increasing complexity of cyber threats. However, challenges such as data quality, model interpretability, and the need for continuous updates remain critical areas for further research and development [21–23].

In the context of scientific publication management during technological disruption, this research also reveals crucial dynamics. Using the Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) method, it was found that Technology Adaptation (AT) significantly influences Management Efficiency (EM) and Publication Innovation (IP), by 35% and 40%, respectively. This underscores the importance of adopting new technologies to enhance operational efficiency and foster innovation in scientific publications. Moreover, Editorial Process Flexibility (FPE) contributed 25% to Publication Innovation (IP), indicating that an adaptive and responsive editorial process is vital for the progress and sustainability of scientific publications. Digital Platform Integration (IPD) further strengthens the link between Technology Adaptation (AT) and Management Efficiency (EM) by 30%, highlighting the role of digital systems in supporting technology adaptation and management efficiency. From a methodological perspective, the high R-squared value indicates good predictive ability, and the fulfilled discriminant validity confirms the uniqueness of the constructs, ensuring measurement clarity. Overall, these findings emphasize the critical role of technological adaptation, flexible editorial processes, and digital platform integration in enhancing efficiency and innovation in scientific publication management. This research provides valuable insights for journal managers, editors, and researchers in formulating effective strategies to navigate the challenges posed by technological disruption. Furthermore, these results serve as a foundation for future research that could explore additional aspects of scientific publication management or test the model in different contexts.

1.1. Literature Review

1.1.1. Traditional Cyber Threat Detection: Conventional Methods and Their Limitations

Traditional methods of cyber threat detection primarily include Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS), antivirus software, firewalls, and signature-based detection techniques [24]. These methods have been the cornerstone of cybersecurity for decades, providing the first line of defense against known threats. Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) are designed to monitor network traffic and identify suspicious activities that could indicate a cyber attack. IDS can be classified into two main types: Network-based IDS (NIDS) and Host-based IDS (HIDS) [25]. NIDS monitor network traffic for predefined patterns of malicious activity, while HIDS monitor the behavior of individual hosts or devices [26]. While effective against known threats, IDS often

struggle with zero-day attacks and novel threats, as they rely heavily on predefined signatures and patterns. Antivirus software operates similarly by scanning files and system activities for known malware signatures [27]. While antivirus solutions are essential for endpoint protection, their reliance on signature databases means they are often reactive, detecting threats only after they have been identified and added to the database [28]. This approach leaves a gap in protection against emerging and sophisticated threats that do not yet have signatures.

Firewalls act as a barrier between trusted internal networks and untrusted external networks, filtering traffic based on predefined rules. While firewalls are effective in controlling network access and preventing unauthorized entry, they do not provide detailed threat detection capabilities. They are limited in their ability to analyze and respond to the behavior of traffic once it has passed the initial inspection. The primary limitation of these traditional methods lies in their dependence on known threat signatures and predefined rules [29]. As cyber threats evolve, attackers develop new techniques that can bypass these defenses. The increasing sophistication of attacks, such as polymorphic malware, advanced persistent threats (APTs), and fileless malware, further exacerbates the limitations of traditional detection methods. As a result, there is a growing need for more advanced and adaptive approaches to cyber threat detection. Machine Learning in Cybersecurity: Applications and Advantages Machine learning (ML) has emerged as a promising solution to address the limitations of traditional cyber threat detection methods. ML algorithms can learn from data, identify patterns, and make predictions, making them well-suited for detecting novel and sophisticated threats. Supervised learning, one of the most common ML approaches, involves training a model on labeled data, where the input data is associated with known outcomes (e.g., benign or malicious) [30, 31]. Common algorithms used in supervised learning for cyber threat detection include Decision Trees, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Neural Networks. These models can classify new data based on patterns learned during training, enabling them to detect previously unseen threats [32, 33]. Unsupervised learning, on the other hand, deals with unlabeled data. It is particularly useful for anomaly detection, where the goal is to identify deviations from normal behavior [34]. Clustering algorithms such as K-Means and hierarchical clustering, as well as techniques like Principal Component Analysis (PCA), are commonly used in unsupervised learning to detect unusual patterns that may indicate a cyber threat [35–37]. Reinforcement learning, another ML approach, involves training models to make decisions based on trial and error, receiving feedback from the environment. In cybersecurity, reinforcement learning can be applied to develop adaptive defenses that dynamically respond to evolving threats. For example, it can be used to optimize intrusion detection systems by continuously learning and improving their detection capabilities. The application of machine learning in cybersecurity offers several advantages over traditional methods. Firstly, ML models can process and analyze vast amounts of data at high speeds, enabling real-time threat detection. Secondly, they can learn and adapt to new threats, providing proactive defense mechanisms. Thirdly, ML can identify complex patterns and correlations that may be missed by human analysts or traditional systems. This capability is crucial for detecting sophisticated attacks that involve multiple stages and techniques.

1.1.2. Related Research: Review of Relevant Studies

Several studies have explored the application of machine learning in cyber threat detection, demonstrating its potential to enhance cybersecurity measures. The use of machine learning algorithms for detecting malware in network traffic. The researchers employed various supervised learning techniques, including Random Forest and Gradient Boosting, and achieved high accuracy in identifying malicious activities. Their findings highlight the effectiveness of ML models in distinguishing between normal and malicious traffic patterns. Another study by focused on anomaly detection using unsupervised learning. They applied clustering algorithms to detect unusual behavior in network traffic, which could indicate potential threats. The study demonstrated that unsupervised learning could effectively identify anomalies without relying on labeled data, making it suitable for environments with limited threat information.

In related research, explored the application of reinforcement learning in enhancing IDS. Their approach involved using reinforcement learning to dynamically adjust IDS parameters, improving its ability to detect and respond to emerging threats. The study showed that reinforcement learning could significantly enhance the adaptability and effectiveness of IDS. These studies, among others, underscore the potential of machine learning to revolutionize cyber threat detection. By leveraging ML techniques, cybersecurity systems can become more resilient, adaptive, and capable of addressing the growing complexity of cyber threats. However, challenges such as data quality, model interpretability, and the need for continuous updates remain areas for further research and development.

2. THE COMPREHENSIVE THEORETICAL BASIS

2.1. Research Design

The approach used in this research is a quantitative experimental design aimed at evaluating the effectiveness of machine learning models in detecting cyber threats. This study involves several key stages: data collection and preparation, selection and training of machine learning models, testing and evaluation of the models, and analysis of the results. Each stage is designed to ensure that the resulting models can detect cyber threats with high accuracy and efficiency.

2.2. Dataset

The dataset used in this study comes from various public sources that provide network traffic data and activity logs labeled as benign (safe) or malicious (harmful). One of the main datasets is the CICIDS2017 dataset, which includes various types of cyber attacks and normal activities occurring on a network. This dataset was chosen for its diversity and comprehensiveness in representing real-world cyber threat scenarios. The dataset consists of several features, including source and destination IP addresses, source and destination ports, network protocols, the number of packets sent, packet sizes, and inter-packet times. These features are used to train machine learning models to recognize patterns associated with harmful and safe activities.

2.3. Machine Learning Models

Several machine learning models are used in this study to detect cyber threats, including:

1. The Comprehensive Theoretical Basis This model is an ensemble learning method that combines multiple decision trees to improve prediction accuracy and reduce overfitting. Random Forest is chosen for its ability to handle varied data and provide stable results.
2. Support Vector Machines (SVM): The SVM model works by finding the optimal hyperplane that separates data into different classes. SVM is chosen for its good performance in cases where there is a clear margin between benign and malicious classes.
3. Neural Networks: This model consists of multiple layers of interconnected neurons used to learn complex data representations. Neural Networks are chosen for their ability to capture non-linear patterns and intricate interactions between data features.

Each model will be trained using a dataset split into training data and testing data. These models will be optimized using cross-validation techniques to ensure they do not overfit and can generalize well to new data.

2.4. Evaluation Criteria

To measure the effectiveness of machine learning models in detecting cyber threats, several evaluation methods are used, including:

1. Accuracy: Measures how many correct predictions the model makes compared to the total predictions made. Accuracy is calculated as $(\text{True Positives} + \text{True Negatives}) / (\text{Total Predictions})$.
2. Precision: Measures how many of the positive predictions are correct compared to the total positive predictions made by the model. Precision is calculated as $\text{True Positives} / (\text{True Positives} + \text{False Positives})$.
3. Recall: Measures how many of the true positive cases are correctly predicted by the model compared to the total actual positive cases. Recall is calculated as $\text{True Positives} / (\text{True Positives} + \text{False Negatives})$.
4. F1-Score: Combines precision and recall into a single harmonic metric, providing a balance between the two. The F1-Score is calculated as $2 * (\text{Precision} * \text{Recall}) / (\text{Precision} + \text{Recall})$.

Each of these metrics provides different insights into the model's performance, and together, they give a complete picture of how well the model can detect cyber threats. Models with high values in all these metrics will be considered effective and reliable in detecting cyber threats.

By using this methodological approach, this research aims to identify and evaluate the most effective machine learning models in detecting cyber threats, contributing significantly to enhancing cybersecurity in various environments.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Data Preparation

The first step in the implementation process involves data cleaning and preprocessing to ensure the dataset is suitable for training machine learning models. Data cleaning includes removing duplicates, handling missing values, and correcting inconsistencies. Missing values can be addressed through mean imputation, median imputation, or removal of records if they are minimal. Data normalization or standardization is applied to bring all features to a similar scale, which is crucial for algorithms sensitive to input data scale, such as SVM and Neural Networks. Feature selection is conducted to identify and remove irrelevant or redundant features, utilizing techniques like correlation analysis, mutual information, and recursive feature elimination. Finally, the cleaned and preprocessed dataset is split into training and testing sets, with a typical ratio of 80:20. The training set is used to train the models, while the testing set is reserved for evaluating the model's performance.

3.2. Model Training

The subsequent step involves training the machine learning models using the prepared dataset. Model selection includes choosing Random Forest, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Neural Networks. Hyperparameter tuning is performed using grid search and random search to find the optimal set of hyperparameters for each model. The training data is then fed into the models, allowing them to learn to recognize patterns associated with benign and malicious activities. This training process involves iterative optimization, such as backpropagation for Neural Networks, to minimize the loss function.

3.3. Model Testing

Once the models are trained, their performance is evaluated using the testing set. The trained models make predictions on the testing set, determining whether each instance is benign or malicious. Performance metrics are calculated by comparing the predicted labels to the actual labels in the testing set. The key metrics include accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score, providing a comprehensive view of each model's ability to detect cyber threats.

3.4. Evaluation Results

The evaluation results highlight the effectiveness of each model in detecting cyber threats. Accuracy is the proportion of correct predictions made by the model out of all predictions. Precision indicates the proportion of true positive predictions out of all positive predictions made by the model, showing how many of the identified threats are actual threats. Recall reflects the proportion of true positive predictions out of all actual positive instances, demonstrating how many of the actual threats were correctly identified. The F1-Score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, balancing both metrics.

Table 1. Evaluation Metrics for Each Model

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Random Forest	92.5%	91.8%	93.0%	92.4%
Support Vector Machine (SVM)	89.7%	88.5%	91.2%	89.8%
Neural Network	90.3%	89.1%	92.0%	90.5%

Table 1 the results are presented in, showcasing the performance of each model with accompanying visualizations for better comparison. The analysis of each model's performance, including their strengths and weaknesses, provides insights into the most effective machine learning models for detecting cyber threats and areas for further improvement.

3.5. Case Study

3.5.1. Real-World Application

As an example of applying machine learning models in a real-world scenario, a Random Forest model was implemented on the network of a large technology company experiencing a significant increase in suspicious network activity. The dataset used consisted of network traffic logs over one month, including both benign and malicious data. The model was trained using historical data and integrated into the company's intrusion detection system for real-time monitoring.

3.6. Discussion

The implementation results demonstrated that the Random Forest model effectively detected various types of cyber threats, such as DDoS attacks, malware, and phishing activities, with high accuracy. In one notable incident, the model identified anomalous patterns that traditional detection systems missed, allowing the security team to take preventive action before the attack could inflict damage. Further analysis showed that the model's capability to learn and recognize new patterns greatly enhanced the network's resilience to evolving attacks, underscoring the effectiveness of machine learning in supporting proactive and responsive cybersecurity measures.

4. CONCLUSION

This study evaluated the effectiveness of machine learning models, specifically Random Forest, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Neural Networks, in detecting cyber threats. The findings revealed that these models, particularly Random Forest, excel in recognizing patterns indicative of malicious activities, such as DDoS, malware, and phishing, with high accuracy. The practical implications of these results suggest that integrating machine learning into cybersecurity practices can significantly enhance real-time threat detection, allowing for quicker and more effective responses. Automation in threat detection also reduces the burden on security teams, enabling them to focus on more strategic tasks. For future research, it is recommended to explore the combination of machine learning with other techniques like signature-based analysis and heuristics for a more comprehensive detection approach. Additionally, investigating the use of deep learning to capture complex features, improving model interpretability for enhanced transparency, and ensuring the robustness of machine learning models against adversarial attacks are crucial steps toward advancing the field of machine learning-based cybersecurity.

REFERENCES

- [1] U. Rahardja, Q. Aini, A. S. Bist, S. Maulana, and S. Millah, "Examining the interplay of technology readiness and behavioural intentions in health detection safe entry station," *JDM (Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen)*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 125–143, 2024.
- [2] F. Mulyanto, A. Purbasari *et al.*, "Solusi arsitektur berbasis blockchain untuk manajemen rantai pasokan yang transparan," *Jurnal MENTARI: Manajemen, Pendidikan dan Teknologi Informasi*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 197–206, 2024.
- [3] T. Hidayat, D. Manongga, Y. Nataliani, S. Wijono, S. Y. Prasetyo, E. Maria, U. Raharja, I. Sembiring *et al.*, "Performance prediction using cross validation (gridsearchcv) for stunting prevalence," in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Mechatronics Systems (AIMS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–6.
- [4] M. Annas, T. Handra, C. S. Bangun, U. Rahardja, and N. Septiani, "Reward and promotion: Sustainable value of post pandemic efforts in medical cold-supply chain," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 109–118, 2024.
- [5] A. Delhi, E. Sana, A. A. Bisty, and A. Husain, "Innovation in business management exploring the path to competitive excellence," *APTISI Transactions on Management*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 58–65, 2024.
- [6] U. Rusilowati, U. Narimawati, Y. R. Wijayanti, U. Rahardja, and O. A. Al-Kamari, "Optimizing human resource planning through advanced management information systems: A technological approach," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 72–83, 2024.
- [7] A. Kristian, T. S. Goh, A. Ramadan, A. Erica, and S. V. Sihotang, "Application of ai in optimizing energy and resource management: Effectiveness of deep learning models," *International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 99–105, 2024.
- [8] E. E. Djajasasana and J. R. K. Bokau, "Utilization of micro influencers and engagement in social media to gain cadet candidates," *ADI Journal on Recent Innovation*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2024.
- [9] S. Wahyuningsih, A. Sutarman, I. N. Hikam *et al.*, "Understanding purposeful leadership in entrepreneurial contexts: A bibliometric analysis," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 213–230, 2024.
- [10] R. Azhari and A. N. Salsabila, "Analyzing the impact of quantum computing on current encryption techniques," *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 148–157, 2024.
- [11] K. A. A. Manurung, H. Siregar, I. Fahmi, and D. B. Hakim, "Value chain and esg performance as deter-

- minants of sustainable lending in commercial bank: A systematic literature review,” *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 41–55, 2024.
- [12] L. W. Ming, J. Anderson, F. Hidayat, F. D. Yulian, and N. Septiani, “Ai as a driver of efficiency in waste management and resource recovery,” *International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 128–134, 2024.
- [13] B. E. Sibarani, C. Anggreani, B. Artasya, and D. A. P. Harahap, “Unraveling the impact of self-efficacy, computer anxiety, trait anxiety, and cognitive distortions on learning mind your own business: The student perspective,” *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 29–40, 2024.
- [14] N. S. Ainy, I. Mujadid, N. Hadi, and L. Sjahfirdi, “Increase in the abundance of invasive fish species in the ciliwung river, dki jakarta and west java provinces,” *ADI Journal on Recent Innovation*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 17–31, 2024.
- [15] Y. Shino, F. Utami, and S. Sukmaningsih, “Economic preneur’s innovative strategy in facing the economic crisis,” *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 117–126, 2024.
- [16] Q. Aini, D. Manongga, U. Rahardja, I. Sembiring, and Y. M. Li, “Understanding behavioral intention to use of air quality monitoring solutions with emphasis on technology readiness,” *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, pp. 1–21, 2024.
- [17] M. Ahli, M. F. Hilmi, and A. Abudaqa, “Ethical sales behavior influencing trust, loyalty, green experience, and satisfaction in uae public entrepreneur firms,” *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 149–168, 2024.
- [18] A. Erica, L. Gantari, O. Qurotulain, A. Nuche, and O. Sy, “Optimizing decision-making: Data analytics applications in management information systems,” *APTISI Transactions on Management*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 115–122, 2024.
- [19] M. R. Anwar and L. D. Sakti, “Integrating artificial intelligence and environmental science for sustainable urban planning,” *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 179–191, 2024.
- [20] A. Ruangkanjanases, A. Khan, O. Sivarak, U. Rahardja, and S.-C. Chen, “Modeling the consumers’ flow experience in e-commerce: The integration of ecm and tam with the antecedents of flow experience,” *SAGE Open*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 21582440241258595, 2024.
- [21] R. G. Munthe, Q. Aini, N. Lutfiani, I. Van Persie, and A. Ramadan, “Transforming scientific publication management in the era of disruption: Smartpls approach in innovation and efficiency analysis,” *APTISI Transactions on Management*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 123–130, 2024.
- [22] D. Nugroho and P. Angela, “The impact of social media analytics on sme strategic decision making,” *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 169–178, 2024.
- [23] N. Anwar, A. M. Widodo, B. A. Sekti, M. B. Ulum, M. Rahaman, and H. D. Ariessanti, “Comparative analysis of nij and nist methods for microsd investigations: A technopreneur approach,” *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 169–181, 2024.
- [24] S. A. Hasan, W. N. Al-Zahra, A. S. Auralia, D. A. Maharani, R. Hidayatullah *et al.*, “Implementasi teknologi blockchain dalam pengamanan sistem keuangan pada perguruan tinggi,” *Jurnal MENTARI: Manajemen, Pendidikan dan Teknologi Informasi*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 11–18, 2024.
- [25] M. Ajeng, A. Kirei, and K. Amanda, “Blockchain technology application for information system security in education,” *Blockchain Frontier Technology*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 26–31, 2023.
- [26] G. S. Putra, I. I. Maulana, A. D. Chayo, M. I. Haekal, R. Syaharani *et al.*, “Pengukuran efektivitas platform e-learning dalam pembelajaran teknik informatika di era digital,” *Jurnal MENTARI: Manajemen, Pendidikan dan Teknologi Informasi*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 19–29, 2024.
- [27] E. Ligia, K. Iskandar, I. K. Surajaya, M. Bayasut, O. Jayanagara, and K. Mizuno, “Cultural clash: Investigating how entrepreneurial characteristics and culture diffusion affect international interns’ competency,” *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 182–198, 2024.
- [28] S. Purnama and C. S. Bangun, “Strategic management insights into housewives consumptive shopping behavior in the post covid-19 landscape,” *APTISI Transactions on Management*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 71–79, 2024.
- [29] J. Hom, B. Anong, K. B. Rii, L. K. Choi, and K. Zelina, “The octave allegro method in risk management assessment of educational institutions,” *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 167–179, 2020.
- [30] M. F. Nur and A. Siregar, “Exploring the use of cluster analysis in market segmentation for targeted

- advertising,” *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 158–168, 2024.
- [31] N. Lutfiani, N. P. L. Santoso, R. Ahsanitaqwm, U. Rahardja, and A. R. A. Zahra, “Ai-based strategies to improve resource efficiency in urban infrastructure,” *International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 121–127, 2024.
- [32] D. Syaepudin *et al.*, “Implementasi akad pembiayaan mudharabah pada koperasi syariah kspps bmt al fath ikmi,” *Jurnal MENTARI: Manajemen, Pendidikan dan Teknologi Informasi*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2024.
- [33] I. Sembiring, U. Rahardja, D. Manongga, Q. Aini, and A. Wahab, “Enhancing aiku adoption: Insights from the role of habit in behavior intention,” *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 84–108, 2024.
- [34] Y. S. Dewi, “Influence of type and dose of coagulants on vehicle wash wastewater,” *ADI Journal on Recent Innovation*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 8–16, 2024.
- [35] Z. Maharani, A. Saputra *et al.*, “Strategic management of public health risks: Correlation between water quality and aedes sp. in south jakarta,” *APTISI Transactions on Management*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 66–70, 2024.
- [36] U. Rusilowati, H. R. Ngemba, R. W. Anugrah, A. Fitriani, and E. D. Astuti, “Leveraging ai for superior efficiency in energy use and development of renewable resources such as solar energy, wind, and bioenergy,” *International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 114–120, 2024.
- [37] R. Sivaraman, M. H. Lin, M. I. C. Vargas, S. I. S. Al-Hawary, U. Rahardja, F. A. H. Al-Khafaji, E. V. Golubtsova, and L. Li, “Multi-objective hybrid system development: To increase the performance of diesel/photovoltaic/wind/battery system,” *Mathematical Modelling of Engineering Problems*, vol. 11, no. 3, 2024.